

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Serial NOS: (09 Series) 009,837 009,294 008,947 EXAMINER 110,160 110,694 717,011 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 110,678 009,455 111,003 501,622 225,687 362,693 DATE MAILED: INTERMIEW SUMMARY J. Simenauer All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) Date of Interview\_ Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Telephonic ☐ Te Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: XYes \( \subseteq No \) If yes, brief description: \( \subseteq 1 \) \( \frac{1}{3} \) \( \frac{ Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed:\_ Identification of prior art discussed: Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

9/m/6

attached.)

ATTACHMENT TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY (1/3) Coursel for applicant requested disclosure of the following information as being reasonably related to the prosecution of the pending patent applications: 1) Identification of all Examiners and/or other Patent Office personnel who were identified in the pending Office Actions, who were Consulted, or otherwise provided input in the formulation of the rejections of record; 2) Identification of all outside consultants and/or other technical personnel, including but notlimited to, those of NIST, who were consulted, or otherwise provided input, in the formulation of the rejections of record;

3) Identification of all Patent Office officials responsible for the withdrawal of Appin Ser. No 009, 294 from bourner, and claupication of the factual Circumstances surrounding that withdrawal 4) Identification of any and all outside Sources of information that muy have preipitated, or otherwise contributed to, the Putent Office's withdrawal of Appu. Ser. No. 009, 294 from issuance

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Applicant's commented that the above-dentifies information requested at the Enterview so germane to the issues raised in the sections. The Patent office, request to therefore, that not respond to these lines of inquiry during the Interview.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY